

# **Alberta's Occupational Health and Safety Regulations**

## **An Explanation of the General Safety Amendment Regulation**

**“The Working Alone Amendment”**

THIRD EDITION

Prepared by:  
Workplace Health and Safety  
December 2001

**Alberta**  
HUMAN RESOURCES  
AND EMPLOYMENT

the people  
& workplace  
department

## Table of Contents

Purpose of the General Safety Amendment Regulation .....	1
General types of working alone situations.....	1
Employer obligations .....	2
Need more information? .....	2
General Safety Amendment Regulation .....	3
Sections 1 and 2    Amending statement.....	3
Subsection 14.1 (1)    Defining "to work alone" .....	3
Subsection 14.1(2)    Hazard assessment and means of communication .....	10
Subsection 14.1(3)    Means of communication .....	12
Subsection 14.1(4)    Alternate means of communication .....	13
Subsection 14.1(5)    Hazard assessments at intervals.....	14
Subsection 14.1(6)    Elimination and control of hazards.....	14
Subsection 14.1(7)    Participation of affected workers.....	15
Subsection 14.1(8)    Assessment communicated to affected workers.....	15
Section 3            Effective date .....	16
Index.....	18

## Purpose of the General Safety Amendment Regulation

Alberta's General Safety Regulation (AR448/83) – one of the regulation governing occupational health and safety practices at Alberta workplaces – was amended on October 4, 2000 by the *General Safety Amendment Regulation* to include new requirements for "working alone". The purpose of the Regulation is to ensure that workers working alone can do so safely. As a result, employers have new responsibilities to minimize and eliminate risks associated with their workers who work alone.

This is the third edition of "An Explanation of the General Safety Amendment Regulation". The purpose of this publication is to explain the requirements of the Regulation in plain, easy-to-understand language. Each of the "rules" or "subsections" of the Regulation is presented, followed by information that helps clarify the intent or application of the requirement.

In the second edition of this publication (WA002-2 December 2000), the concept of "to work alone" was interpreted to mean that persons capable of offering assistance were too far away from, and therefore unable to see, the worker requiring assistance. As a result, the term "readily available" in the definition of "to work alone" was interpreted to mean that *visual contact* between workers had to be maintained. This interpretation was found to be too narrow and limiting.

Many situations arise in which workers may be unable to see one another but can maintain audible contact. Examples include workers in adjoining offices, a welder working behind a welding screen, and a tradesperson and their helper separated by an intervening wall. In such circumstances, the working alone requirements need not apply. The explanation of subsection 14.1(1) has been updated and expanded to reflect this broader interpretation.

This publication accompanies a handbook of best practices intended to help employers meet their new obligations. *Working Alone Safely – A Guide for Employers and Employees*, is available through Alberta Human Resources and Employment, Workplace Health and Safety by dialling toll-free 1-866-415-8690 or on-line at [www.gov.ab.ca/hre/whs/publications/pdf/workingalone.pdf](http://www.gov.ab.ca/hre/whs/publications/pdf/workingalone.pdf).

### General types of working alone situations

Workers who work alone can be grouped into five broad categories:

- (1) Workers who handle cash. This includes convenience store clerks, retail and food outlet workers, and taxi drivers.
- (2) Workers who travel away from base office to meet clients. This includes home care workers, social services workers, and bylaw enforcement officers.
- (3) Workers who do hazardous work but have no routine interaction with customers or the public. This includes workers in the logging, oil and gas industries.

- (4) Workers who travel alone but have no routine interaction with customers or the public. This includes truck drivers and business people in transit.
- (5) Workers who are at risk of a violent attack because their work site is isolated from public view. This includes security guards and custodians.

A worker is considered to be working alone if the worker works by himself or herself at a work site in circumstances where assistance is not readily available when needed. Employers can eliminate the risk of workers working alone, as well as the need to comply with the working alone requirements if they choose to organize work schedules and procedures to eliminate the need for workers to work by themselves.

### Employer obligations

If an employer has workers who work by themselves, the Regulation requires the employer to:

- conduct a hazard assessment to identify existing or potential safety hazards in the workplace associated with working alone;
- implement safety measures to reduce the risk to workers from the identified hazards;
- ensure that workers have an effective way of communicating with their employer, immediate supervisor or another designated person in case of an emergency situation; and
- ensure that workers are trained and educated so they can perform their jobs safely.

Four other jurisdictions in Canada (Manitoba, Saskatchewan, British Columbia, and New Brunswick) regulate working alone. All use a regulatory approach very similar to the one adopted in Alberta. Each of those jurisdictions requires employers to conduct a hazard assessment and to then develop controls to reduce the risks associated with the identified hazards.

When this Regulation was being prepared, consideration was given to making it mandatory for employers to have at least two workers at all work sites. However, it was determined that such a requirement was not always practicable or effective in protecting workers. Instead, employers were given some flexibility in determining the best ways of protecting their workers. No jurisdiction in Canada prohibits working alone.

### Need more information?

This publication provides explanations that apply to most workplaces and situations. However, if an explanation is unclear, or additional information would be helpful, Workplace Health and Safety would like to know. Call us toll-free at 1-866-415-8690 so we can answer your questions and incorporate the answers into the next edition of this publication.

---

*Note: All persons making use of this publication are reminded that it has no legislative sanction. The original amendment should be consulted for all purposes of interpreting and applying the law.*

## General Safety Amendment Regulation

### Sections 1 and 2 Amending statement

1. The *General Safety Regulation* (AR 448/83) is amended by this Regulation.
2. The following is added after section 14:

---

#### EXPLANATION

An amendment is a way of changing, correcting, removing or adding requirements to a regulation without having to withdraw the entire regulation and then pass the amended version back into law. The regulation being amended is the General Safety Regulation (GSR). The GSR presents many of the occupational health and safety rules that apply to Alberta workplaces. It includes, for example, requirements for head, eye and foot protection, guarding of machinery, prevention of falls from height, and safe use of equipment at work sites.

The General Safety Amendment Regulation adds several new requirements to the GSR. The majority of the new requirements appear in section 14.1, numbered so that it blends into the existing numbering of the GSR.

---

### Subsection 14.1 (1) Defining "to work alone"

In this section, "to work alone" means to work alone at a work site in circumstances where assistance is not readily available in the event of an injury, illness or emergency.

---

#### EXPLANATION

Visual contact too limiting

In the second edition of this publication (December 2000), the concept of "to work alone" was interpreted to mean that persons capable of offering assistance were too far away from, and therefore unable to see, the worker requiring assistance. As a result, the term "readily available" in the definition of "to work alone" was interpreted to mean that *visual contact* between workers had to be maintained. This interpretation was found to be too narrow and limiting.

Many situations arise in which workers may be unable to see one another but can maintain audible contact. Examples include workers in adjoining offices, a welder working behind a welding screen, and a tradesperson and their helper separated by an

intervening wall. In such circumstances, the working alone requirements need not apply.

### Two Conditions

The working alone requirements of the Regulation apply when *both* of the following conditions are met:

- (1) a worker is working by himself or herself, and
- (2) assistance, in the event of an injury, illness or emergency, is not readily available to the worker.

If two or more workers of the same employer are working together, the working alone requirements of the Regulation do not apply. If two or more workers of different employers are working together, the working alone requirements of the Regulation do not apply as it is reasonable to expect that the workers will provide assistance to one another.

### Readily available - three assessment factors

Three factors must be assessed when determining if assistance is "readily available" in the event of an injury, illness or emergency:

- (1) *awareness* – will other persons capable of providing assistance be aware of the worker's needs?
- (2) *willingness* – is it reasonable to expect those other persons will provide helpful assistance?
- (3) *timeliness* – will assistance be provided within a reasonable period of time?

This assessment must consider the level of risk associated with the circumstances of the work e.g. type of work, location, hazards, etc. If the worker faces hazards that pose a high risk of injury, "readily available" may become "immediately available". Worker expectations of the availability of assistance increase as the level of risk associated with the work increases. Employers are reminded that the level of risk may change over time and with changing workplace conditions.

### Example situations

The following examples describe typical workplace situations in very general terms. Circumstances at individual workplaces may vary, influencing whether or not a working alone situation exists.

#### *Example 1:*

*A worker is the only staff member on duty at "Bison Burgers" in a food court where other workers are present at nearby food outlets.*

Although the worker is by himself or herself, workers present at other food outlets could reasonably be expected to provide or get assistance. The working alone requirements of the Regulation would not apply in this situation since both conditions described above are not met.

However, a lone worker at a stand-alone food outlet would meet the "working alone" conditions because the worker, if seriously injured due to an accident or as a result of a confrontation with a customer, would have no way of getting assistance.

*Example 2:*

*A worker, equipped with a portable two-way radio or cellular telephone, is working by himself or herself in an area where the worker cannot be seen or heard by persons capable of offering assistance.*

The two conditions applicable to working alone apply in this example. The worker is working by himself or herself *and* assistance is not readily available because the worker cannot be seen or heard by persons capable of offering assistance. While it may be part of the solution, the fact that the worker has a portable two-way radio or a cellular telephone is irrelevant when assessing the situation against the two conditions.

Because the two working alone conditions are met, the employer is required to conduct a hazard assessment to identify existing or potential hazards arising from the conditions and circumstances of the worker's work. The employer must also establish an effective means of communication between the worker and persons capable of responding to the worker's needs. The assessment may show that the portable two-way radio or cellular telephone is effective, or it may suggest that alternatives are necessary.

*Example 3:*

*A worker driving on the highway between Calgary and Edmonton versus a worker driving on a remote abandoned logging road.*

It is reasonable to expect that during daytime hours, if a worker driving the highway requires assistance, other highway users will become aware of the need. It is also reasonable to expect that other highway users will willingly provide assistance and do so in a timely manner. The working alone requirements do not apply. However, if the driving occurs throughout the night, particularly on a less travelled roadway, the working alone requirements may apply.

By contrast, it is reasonable to expect that a worker driving on a remote abandoned logging road will not encounter anyone on the

roadway. In the event of an injury, illness or emergency, it is unreasonable to expect someone will be aware of the situation or be willing to provide assistance in a timely manner. The working alone requirements apply.

*Example 4:*

*A nurse on night shift at a psychiatric unit versus a nurse on day shift at a children's unit.*

The circumstances of the work in these two situations are quite different, although the worksite – the health care centre – is the same. The availability of assistance in each situation must be assessed individually from the perspectives of awareness, willingness and timeliness. Given the increased risk to personal safety of working the night shift on a psychiatric unit, expectations on the availability of assistance are also greater.

Particularly if the unit is large and few staff are on duty, it may not be reasonable to expect other persons capable of offering assistance to be aware of the nurse's needs. Given the potential hazards to which the nurse is exposed, the timeliness of a response for assistance should be faster. The situation of a nurse on night shift at a psychiatric may trigger the working alone requirements.

*Example 5:*

*Table 1 describes situations that might be encountered involving two workers, one of which is a tradesperson and the other is the tradesperson's helper.*

Table 1 Degrees of worker separation

Tradesperson and helper work together continually	Not working alone
Helper passes behind loading bins and is momentarily out of sight or cannot be heard	Not working alone
Helper goes to the next room to get supplies Helper goes to another floor to get supplies Helper goes to outside loading area to get supplies	Workers <i>may</i> be working alone – workers should be aware where each other is and the approximate return time. Factors that may influence whether this is a working alone situation include: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>▪ how long the workers are separated</li> <li>▪ whether the work poses a high risk of injury</li> <li>▪ the presence of other persons at the work site</li> </ul>
Helper gets into vehicle to go to warehouse to get more supplies	Working alone

Is the worker working alone?

A worker is *not* "working alone" if *all* of the following conditions are met:

- (1) *awareness* – the worker can get the attention of someone capable of providing helpful assistance when the worker requires it i.e. by maintaining visual contact, staying within the hearing range of others, being continuously monitored by remote surveillance camera, sounding an alarm, making frequent contact with other workers or persons throughout the work period.
- (2) *willingness* – persons expected to provide assistance to the worker must be capable and willing to do so when required. There should be a reasonable expectation that the persons being relied on to provide assistance can and actually will provide that assistance. Depending on circumstances, those persons may need access to a telephone to call Emergency Services (dialling 9-1-1), access to some other type of communication device to call for assistance, or specialized skills e.g. confined space entry training, the ability to use a self-contained breathing apparatus, etc. The employer must consider these factors when assessing the working alone situation.
- (3) *timeliness* — the required assistance will be provided in a reasonable period of time. What is reasonable depends on factors such as the nature of the illness, injury or emergency, the physical location of the work and workers, the type of work being performed, the level of risk and others. In general, assistance must be provided as quickly as reasonably practical. Employers should discuss this and the other conditions with workers to ensure that worker needs are met.

#### Video Surveillance Camera

Maintaining contact with workers may be achieved through the use of video surveillance cameras. The employer's hazard assessment should assess to what extent workers using this system can respond to other workers who require assistance. A remote video monitoring room, or a non-continuous surveillance system that regularly switches images between several monitoring cameras may or may not meet the "readily available" assistance criterion of the Regulation. The hazard assessment should help to determine if this is the case.

Employers can eliminate the risk of workers working alone, as well as the need to comply with the working alone requirements, if they choose to organize work schedules and procedures to eliminate the need for workers to work by themselves. Overlapping shifts,

having multiple workers work together, and rearranging the physical location of the work so that workers maintain contact with one another are examples of how working alone situations can be avoided.

#### Work site and worker

Subsection 1(n) of the *Occupational Health and Safety Act* defines a work site as a location where a worker is, or is likely to be, engaged in any occupation. For workers who work out of their vehicle, any vehicle or mobile equipment used by the worker as part of the job is also considered a work site. The *Act* clearly indicates that a work site is any location where there is, or is likely to be, a worker doing work as part of their occupation.

Subsection 1(m) of the *Occupational Health and Safety Act* defines a worker as any person engaged in an occupation. It is not necessary for the worker to be paid and therefore volunteers and other unpaid persons are considered to be workers.

#### Exempted workers

The amendment, as well as the GSR, do not apply to farmers and ranchers, workers working in their own private dwellings, domestic workers such as nannies and housekeepers, federal government workers, or workers in federally-regulated industries such as banking, telecommunications, television and radio broadcasting, and interprovincial transportation.

#### Are students workers?

The *Occupational Health and Safety Act* covers any "worker" engaged in an "occupation". The *Act* goes on to define "occupation" to mean every "occupation, employment, business, calling or pursuit over which the Legislature has jurisdiction". In creating this broad definition, legislators wanted to ensure that all those engaged in hazardous work activities were protected by the *Act*, even if they receive no payment for that work. Under the *Act*, the employer is responsible for ensuring workers' health and safety and workers are subject to the duties and protections provided for under the *Act*.

In applying this legislation to students, the *Act* is intended to apply to:

- students undertaking on-the-job work experience programs;
- students in traditional "workplace-type settings" such as machine, woodworking and automotive shops, cosmetology, chemistry, engineering and electronic labs, and food and meat production areas that might typically be found in technical schools, universities, and apprenticeship and vocational programs;

- students who perform work on behalf of, for example, an academic institution or instructor; and
- since it is not necessary for workers to be paid, students who perform work as volunteers on behalf of, for example, an academic institution or instructor.

Workplace Health and Safety enforces the *Act* in these situations.

The Department would not apply the *Act* in the following situations because they do not expose students to hazards normally associated with on-the-job work experience programs or the traditional "workplace-type settings" described above:

- students enrolled in elementary schools, unless students participate in activities that take place in "workplace-type settings";
- students engaged in pure academic study in a classroom setting (e.g. lecture hall, computer lab, language lab); and
- students engaged in extracurricular activities not directly related to their career (e.g. sports and fitness programs, club activities).

Do students have an employer?

Students considered to be workers under the *Act* but who do not receive payment for their work and are not volunteers are considered to be self-employed e.g. students undertaking on-the-job work experience programs. This places the burden of complying with the *Act* and its associated regulations largely on the students themselves.

Responsibilities of the educational institution

Educational institutions are not without obligations. In accordance with the *Act*, an educational institution is the prime contractor for the work site and the self-employed workers working there i.e. the students. As a result, the educational institution is responsible for:

- (a) ensuring, as far as reasonably practicable, compliance with the *Act*; and
- (b) ensuring first aid services and equipment are provided for the maximum number of "workers" actively involved in "work" at the academic institution at any one period of time.

## Subsection 14.1(2) Hazard assessment and means of communication

When a worker is required to work alone, the employer shall

- (a) first conduct a hazard assessment to identify existing or potential hazards arising from the conditions and circumstances of the worker's work, and
  - (b) establish an effective means of communication between the worker and persons capable of responding to the worker's needs.
- 

### EXPLANATION

#### Hazard assessment

A work site hazard assessment is a common sense look at the workplace to identify existing hazards for workers working alone. To perform such an assessment, employers need to:

- review records and past incidents, and
- identify measures or actions needed to correct any hazards.

Completing those two initial steps is important to the success of any health and safety program. Subsection 14.1(7) requires employers, where practicable, to involve in the hazard assessment those workers who may be affected by it. Subsection 14.1(8) requires that the assessment be in writing and be communicated to all workers affected by it. The assessment should indicate the work site(s) to which it applies and the date(s) on which it was performed.

Employers having limited experience with assessing hazards, or who are unsure of what corrective measures or actions to take, may wish to contact outside experts. These may include the industry association to which they may belong, the provincial health and safety association that best represents their industry, or a private occupational health and safety consultant. Employers in the retail sector may also wish to contact the Crime Prevention Unit of their local police service.

#### One hazard assessment for multiple work sites

Hazard assessments are only required for each *different* set of working conditions. A taxi company for example, could complete one hazard assessment to cover all its drivers and the typical situations those drivers encounter. An assessment does not need to be completed for each driver individually. The same is true of a worker selling vacuum cleaners door-to-door, or a worker inspecting a number of essentially identical transformer substations. A single hazard assessment can cover the hazards

generically rather than assessing each taxi, house or substation individually.

#### Review of records and past incidents

When identifying workplace hazards, employers should talk to their workers and review the experience of the business over the previous two or three years. Collect and examine the history of past events that may reveal the risk of workplace incidents, injuries and violence. Consider what happened before and during the incident. Note the relevant details of the situation and its outcome. If an employer does not have any personal experience with past incidents, the employer should check with similar businesses so that a variety of potential problem situations and circumstances are identified and considered.

Employers should see if there are any trends in injuries or incidents relating to their type of business, a particular work site, job title, activity, or time of day or week. Employers should identify specific tasks that may be associated with increased risk.

Good sources of information include injury and illness records resulting from "working alone" incidents, workers' compensation claims, and police department robbery reports that identify specific incidents related to working alone.

#### Inspect and analyze the workplace

After reviewing records or past incidents, employers need to carefully examine the workplace, day-to-day management practices and other situations that may put workers at risk. This will help to develop and put in place specific preventative measures to address these problems.

The employer's review should look at:

- all factors that may make the risk of violence more likely, such as physical features of the building and environment, inadequate lighting, lack of telephones and other communication devices, telephones that do not permit outgoing calls, areas of unsecured access, and areas with known security problems such as parking lots;
- factors that make the risk of occupational injury more likely or severe, such as high hazard work e.g. tree cutting, isolation from first aid services, and inability to call for help; and
- the effectiveness of existing safety measures. Find out whether these measures are actually being used and whether workers have been adequately trained in their use.


Appendix 1 of *Working Alone Safely – A Guide for Employers and Employees*, contains sample hazard assessment checklists (see Figure 1). The checklists present a series of questions that may

help employers perform their work site inspection and analysis of hazards. In some cases (depending on the type of business and the hazards present), completion of the appropriate checklist may be all that is required. In other cases, the checklist is a starting point for a more comprehensive assessment.

Means of communication

Readers should refer to the explanation accompanying subsections 14.1(3) and 14.1(4).

Figure 1 Examples of hazard assessment checklists



Subsection 14.1(3) Means of communication

For the purposes of subsection (2)(b), "effective means of communication" means radio, telephone or other electronic communication device.

### Subsection 14.1(4) Alternate means of communication

Notwithstanding subsection (2)(b), if an effective means of communication is not practicable or readily available at the worksite, the employer shall:

- (a) visit the worker, or
- (b) ensure the worker contacts the employer

at intervals of time appropriate to the nature of the hazards associated with the worker's work.

---

#### EXPLANATION

Subsections 14.1(3) and (4)

The purpose behind the "effective means of communication" is to provide workers with a method of signaling their need for assistance. The "means of communication" is *not* limited to verbal communications – the amendment does not require employers to provide cellular telephones to each of their workers.

Common devices that meet the intent of subsections 14.1(2) and 14.1(3) include telephones, cellular telephones, satellite telephones, portable two-way radios, personal alarms and computer-based systems that achieve the same results. The key point is that the communication system must permit a worker requiring assistance to send a message or signal to someone capable of providing assistance to them.

As an alternative to an "effective means of communication", an employer can establish an effective "contact" system that is appropriate to the hazard. Examples of a contact system include visiting the worker, scheduling check-ins with other workers or designated persons, reporting to an office or particular person upon completion of a task, and visual or audible contact with other persons who can offer assistance when needed. The frequency of contact must be based on a hazard assessment. At a work site involving minimal hazards, the requirement may involve a conversation between workers at the time of shift change or reporting to work the next day. Alternatively, a more hazardous work situation may require regular check-ins or visits by a supervisor.

An effective means of communication or contact must always be provided by the employer in working alone conditions. An employer cannot, upon performing the hazard assessment, decide that a means of communication or contact is unnecessary. If a worker works alone at a work site in circumstances where

assistance is not readily available when needed, a means of communication or contact must be provided.

---

#### Subsection 14.1(5) Hazard assessments at intervals

In addition to conducting a hazard assessment under subsection (2)(a), the employer shall conduct further hazard assessments at intervals of time appropriate to the conditions and circumstances of the worker's work.

---

#### EXPLANATION

A new hazard assessment needs to be performed when the circumstances of the working alone situation change. For example, exchanging one worker for another may not substantially change a working alone situation but extending the worker's hours of work from 6 p.m. to midnight may have a substantial effect on their personal safety and the results of the existing hazard assessment. The change in working hours alters the working alone situation and triggers the need for a new hazard assessment.

Something as simple as a new business opening next door may trigger the need for a new hazard assessment. If that new business involves late night entertainment for example, then the potential impact of its operation on the existing employer's workers who work late and alone need to be considered.

---

#### Subsection 14.1(6) Elimination and control of hazards

The employer shall take all reasonable steps

- (a) to eliminate any hazard identified during a hazard assessment, or
  - (b) to control any hazard identified during a hazard assessment if it is not reasonably practicable to eliminate the hazard.
- 

#### EXPLANATION

Employers must first try to eliminate the hazard(s) identified by the hazard assessment. Then and only then should the employer try to reduce or control the hazard(s). Elimination ensures the hazard no longer exists, regardless of which worker is working and their experience, skills and abilities.

Reduction or control of hazards may only be a temporary measure and may be dependent on specific workers being able to make the

---

control function as intended. For example, securement of an access door that depends on a worker using a significant amount of force to close it and a special way of twisting the lock to get it to latch is unacceptable. All of the employer's workers should be able to operate the door and lock, regardless of their strength or abilities. The hazard can easily be eliminated through repair or replacement of the door and lock. Subsection (b) uses the phrase "reasonably practicable" to reflect the fact that many hazards, by their very nature, cannot be eliminated e.g. toxic gases, chemicals, confined spaces, heights, etc. These types of hazards can and should be controlled.

---

#### Subsection 14.1(7) Participation of affected workers

If practicable, the employer shall have the workers affected by a hazard assessment participate

- (a) in conducting the hazard assessment, and
- (b) in the elimination or control of any hazard identified during the hazard assessment.

---

#### EXPLANATION

The intent of this requirement is to involve workers in activities that directly affect them and to which they can provide meaningful advice and guidance. Workers can provide information and practical solutions that might otherwise be missed by an employer or his designate who does not routinely work under the circumstances experienced by the workers.

In some cases, it may not be practical to involve all of the affected workers who work alone. In such circumstances, employers should try to involve a sample of workers, perhaps selected on the basis of experience, gender, age or other appropriate criteria, that represents the total affected workforce.

---

#### Subsection 14.1(8) Assessment communicated to affected workers

A hazard assessment must be in writing and be communicated to all workers affected by the assessment.

---

#### EXPLANATION

The hazard assessment must be in writing. A written assessment provides evidence that hazards were identified and considered.

Appendix 1 of *Working Alone Safely – A Guide for Employers and Employees*, contains sample hazard assessment checklists [several examples are included in the explanation for subsection 14.1(2)]. In some cases, depending on the type of business and the hazards present, completion of the appropriate checklist may be all that is required. In other cases, the checklist is a starting point for a more comprehensive assessment.

The assessment should indicate the work site(s) to which it applies and the date(s) on which it was performed. Upon completion, the results of the hazard assessment must be communicated to *all* workers affected by its results. This communication should include a description of how the employer intends to eliminate or control the various hazards identified.

Workers who work alone must also be trained in any procedures or practices developed to protect them from the hazards identified in the assessment.

---

### Section 3 Effective date

If a worker is required to work alone immediately before this Regulation comes into force, the employer shall conduct a hazard assessment under section 14.1(2) of the *General Safety Regulation* (AR 448/83) within 90 days of the coming into force of this Regulation and shall conduct further hazard assessments after that date in accordance with section 14.1(5) of the *General Safety Regulation* (AR 448/83).

---

#### EXPLANATION

##### Hazard assessment and enforcement

The amendment officially came into effect on October 4, 2000. If an employer had workers who were required to work alone, the employer was required to perform a hazard assessment within 90 days of this effective date.

As required by subsection 14.1(5), a new hazard assessment must be performed when the circumstances of the working alone situation change. For example, exchanging one worker for another may not substantially change a working alone situation but extending the worker's hours of work from 6 p.m. to midnight may have a substantial effect on their personal safety and the results of the existing hazard assessment. The change in working hours alters the working alone situation and triggers the need for a new hazard assessment.

### Enforcement of the new requirements

Compliance with the new requirements will be checked during the normal course of work site inspections performed by Occupational Health and Safety Officers. Workplace Health and Safety targets companies for inspection that have a poor history of health and safety performance. The Department also responds to all worker complaints of cases of non-compliance.

Index

**A**

Amendment ..... 1, 3  
 Assessment communicated to affected workers..... 15  
 Availability of assistance ..... 3  
*awareness* ..... 4, 6, 7

**B**

British Columbia..... 2

**C**

cellular telephones ..... 13  
 contact system..... 13

**E**

Effective date ..... 16  
 effective means of communication ..... 10, 12, 13  
 eliminate risks associated with their workers who work alone ..... 1  
 Elimination and control of hazards ..... 14  
 Employer obligations..... 2  
 Enforcement of the new requirements..... 17  
 Exempted workers ..... 8

**G**

*General Safety Regulation*..... 1, 3, 16

**H**

hazard assessment..... 2, 7, 10, 12, 13, 14, 15, 16  
 Hazard assessment ..... 10  
 hazard assessment checklists..... 11  
 hazard assessment for multiple work sites ..... 10

**I**

Inspect and analyze the workplace ..... 11

**M**

Manitoba..... 2

**N**

New Brunswick ..... 2

**P**

Participation of affected workers ..... 15  
 purpose of the new regulation ..... 1

**R**

readily available ..... 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 7, 13, 14  
 Review of records and past incidents..... 11

**S**

Saskatchewan ..... 2  
students ..... 8, 9

**T**

*timeliness* ..... 4, 6, 7  
to work alone ..... 3, 10, 16  
two workers at all work sites ..... 2

**V**

video monitoring ..... 7  
*visual contact* ..... 13  
volunteers ..... 8, 9

**W**

*willingness* ..... 4, 6, 7  
Work site and worker ..... 8  
working alone situations ..... 1, 8  
working by himself or herself ..... 4, 5